



BALANCING PRESS FREEDOM AND PRIVACY RIGHTS: “A SEARCHLIGHT ON ETHICAL MEDIA REPORTING”

¹DIBIA, Peter Nnadozie
²OKEREAFOR, Jennifer Chioma
³EJIOGU, Onyekwere Charles

^{1,2,3} Department of Mass Communication, Imo State University, Owerri

Corresponding author: Dibia, Peter Nnadozie, Email: dibiabest016@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

This study examined the ethical challenges faced by Hot FM 99.5 in balancing press freedom with individual privacy rights, particularly in sensitive and high-profile cases. Employing a mixed-methods approach, the research integrates survey data from 200 audience members and insights from in-depth interviews with eight station staff and listeners. The findings reveal significant ethical dilemmas arising from the dual obligation to provide timely, accurate news while safeguarding individual privacy. Results show that legal frameworks such as Nigeria’s Broadcasting Code and constitutional provisions guide reporting practices but are often subject to varying interpretations, leading to inconsistencies in application. Ultimately, the study provides actionable recommendations to ensure responsible reporting that respects both press freedom and privacy rights, fostering trust between Hot FM 99.5 and its audience.

Keywords: Press freedom, privacy rights, ethical challenges.

Introduction

Press freedom is recognized as a fundamental human right, central to democracy. It allows journalists to inform the public, expose abuses of power, and foster democratic debate (Holt & Pihl-Thingvad, 2018). In the digital age, however, the concept of press freedom has evolved. While the internet and social media provide journalists with unprecedented access to information and platforms for dissemination, they also introduce new challenges regarding the boundary between the public's right to know and an individual's right to privacy.

The media's role in holding the powerful to account is crucial, but press freedom should not extend to the extent that it compromises personal privacy. Ethical journalism requires that journalists respect the boundaries of what should be made public and what should be protected for personal dignity (Zollmann, 2019). This becomes particularly difficult when the lines between personal and public lives are increasingly blurred by digital media.

The right to privacy has gained prominence in recent years, particularly as digital surveillance and data collection become ubiquitous. In the modern era, privacy is not only about physical spaces but also concerns an individual's data, online activities, and personal information (Bygrave, 2018). According to the European Union's General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR), the protection of personal data has become a fundamental right (Regulation (EU) 2016/679). The GDPR emphasizes the need for a balance between the right to information and the protection of personal data, an area where the media must be particularly cautious.

In numerous legal systems, privacy is seen as an individual's right to be left alone, free from unwarranted intrusion. In certain contexts, media coverage, while important for public awareness, may harm this right, leading to legal consequences and public backlash. In many cases, this conflict between press freedom and privacy protection must be managed through ethical decision-making in journalism (Binns, 2018).

Ethical media reporting is rooted in standards that guide journalists on how to handle sensitive issues, including privacy. Journalists must balance the public's right to know with their obligation to protect individuals' privacy and avoid harm (Kovach & Rosenstiel, 2019). The Code of Ethics provided by professional organizations like the Society of Professional Journalists (SPJ) emphasizes the need to "minimize harm" while practicing "truthfulness" and "accuracy." Journalists are instructed to show restraint in reporting private matters unless the information serves a legitimate public interest.

The ethical dilemma often arises when determining what constitutes a "legitimate public interest." For example, the media may justify publishing intimate details about a public figure or a criminal case based on the perceived importance of the story. However, if the information serves only to satisfy curiosity or sensationalism, it may cross the ethical line. An important consideration in this regard is whether the disclosure contributes meaningfully to public knowledge or merely invades an individual's privacy (Nelson & Leach, 2020).

Recent cases, such as those involving privacy violations through the publication of personal data or photographs, have emphasized the need for stronger regulations in media practice. While the right to free expression may seem in conflict with the right to privacy, international courts often support press freedom, provided that it does not unduly infringe on individual privacy (Zollmann, 2019). The challenge lies in ensuring that journalists and media houses are accountable not only to their audience but also to the legal and ethical standards surrounding privacy.

Statement of Problem

The ethical challenge of balancing press freedom and privacy rights has become increasingly complex in the digital age. For media organizations like Hot 99.5 FM, which serve a dynamic and engaged audience in Owerri, Imo State, the need to navigate this balance is particularly pressing. While the station plays a critical role in informing the public and fostering democratic discourse through programs like *People's Assembly*, it must also carefully consider the privacy rights of individuals, especially when reporting on sensitive or high-profile issues.

The core issue lies in defining the boundaries between public interest and personal privacy, especially within the context of Hot 99.5 FM's audience, who rely on the station for accurate and timely news. The lack of universally accepted guidelines and variations in how ethical standards are applied across different platforms further complicate the situation. This study explored the specific challenges faced by journalists and media practitioners at Hot 99.5 FM, and propose practical solutions to promote ethical and responsible reporting that aligns with audience expectations and respects privacy rights.

Research Questions

1. What are the ethical challenges faced by Hot99.5 FM in balancing press freedom and individual privacy rights, especially in sensitive or high-profile cases?
2. How do existing legal frameworks and policies influence Hot99.5 FM's reporting practices in maintaining a balance between press freedom and privacy rights?

3. What are the perceptions and expectations of Hot 99.5Fm's audience regarding the station's adherence to ethical standards in reporting?
4. What practical strategies and best practices can be developed for Hot99.5FM to ensure responsible reporting that respect both press freedom and individual privacy?

Scope of the Study

This study examines the ethical dimensions of media reporting in Owerri, Imo State, focusing on the balance between press freedom and privacy rights. It explores the practices and challenges faced by the station's staff, including journalists and management, and investigates the perceptions and expectations of its local audience. Key areas include ethical reporting challenges, the influence of legal frameworks, and strategies for responsible journalism. Findings are specific to Hot 99.5 FM and its audience in Owerri and may not apply to other regions or media organizations.

Conceptual Review

Overview of Hot 99.5 FM

Hot 99.5 FM is a prominent radio station based in Owerri, Imo State, Nigeria. Known for its dynamic programming, the station serves as a primary source of information, entertainment, and public engagement for its audience. With a focus on delivering news, music, talk shows, and interactive segments, Hot 99.5 FM has established itself as a significant platform for communication in Imo state and beyond.

One of the station's flagship programmes is "*People's Assembly*," a highly popular and influential talk show anchored by Mr. Anthony Ogbekine and Miss Ifenyenwa Nwanna. The show provides a platform for discussing pressing socio-economic, political, and cultural issues, making it a key driver of civic engagement in the community. Through in-depth discussions, audience participation, and expert opinions, *People's Assembly* has become a trusted voice for public discourse and a forum for addressing the concerns of the people which include the balance between press freedom and privacy right cum ethical dilemmas in media reporting.

The station is recognized for its wide reach and its ability to connect with diverse demographics, including young people, professionals, and local communities. Its programming blends global and local content, addressing both national issues and regional concerns, thereby fostering a sense of connection and relevance among its listeners.

Press Freedom: A Pillar of Democracy

Press freedom is essential for the functioning of a democratic society, enabling the media to serve as a watchdog and hold those in power accountable (Huberty, 2022). It empowers journalists to investigate and report without fear of censorship or reprisal, ensuring that the public is informed about critical issues.

However, press freedom is not absolute and must be exercised responsibly to prevent harm, such as the publication of false or defamatory information. In the context of Hot 99.5 FM, the station's journalistic practices reflect the importance of press freedom in providing unbiased news and fostering open dialogue, particularly through programs like *People's Assembly*.

Privacy Rights: Protecting Individual Dignity

Privacy rights safeguard individuals from unwarranted intrusion into their personal lives. In an era of pervasive digital surveillance and instant news dissemination, the right to privacy has become increasingly vulnerable (Adeleke, 2023). Media organizations must navigate the delicate boundary between reporting on matters of public interest and respecting individuals' privacy. Hot 99.5 FM, with its commitment to engaging the public on pressing issues, must carefully balance these rights, especially when discussing sensitive topics during segments like the *Newspaper Review*.

Ethical Reporting in Modern Media

Ethical reporting is the cornerstone of responsible journalism, requiring media practitioners to adhere to principles of truth, accuracy, fairness, and respect for privacy. This becomes particularly critical when covering controversial or high-profile stories that can have far-reaching consequences (Celiberti, D., Sniezyk, C., & Leif, E. (2020). For Hot 99.5 FM, ethical reporting is vital to maintaining its credibility and fostering trust among its audience. Programs like *People's Assembly* exemplify the station's efforts to navigate ethical challenges by providing balanced and respectful coverage of socio-political issues.

Audience Engagement and Participatory Media Practices

Modern media thrives on audience participation, and fostering meaningful engagement is a key factor in building trust and credibility. Hot 99.5 FM exemplifies participatory media through interactive programs like *People's Assembly*, which actively involve listeners in discussions on current affairs. Segments like the *Newspaper Review* provide a platform for audience contributions via calls, messages, and social media, ensuring their voices are heard. This participatory approach not only strengthens community connections but also reinforces the station's role as a reliable and responsive media outlet.

These subtopics provide a comprehensive framework for understanding the interplay between press freedom, privacy rights, ethical media practices, and audience engagement, as they relate to the seminar topic and Hot 99.5 FM's operations.

Empirical Reviews

Mitchell (2020) work explores the legal and ethical conflicts between press freedom and privacy rights. She argues that while the press plays a vital role in ensuring transparency and accountability, it must avoid infringing on individual privacy unless it serves a legitimate public interest. The study uses case studies of media organizations facing legal repercussions due to unethical reporting practices, highlighting the need for stricter ethical guidelines in journalism.

Hughes (2023) examines the relationship between media ethics and public trust in journalism. The study reveals that biased reporting significantly affects public opinion and damages the credibility of media institutions. It emphasizes the need for ethical journalism practices, such as accuracy, impartiality, and accountability, to regain public trust. Case studies from the Nigerian media landscape are also analyzed to contextualize these findings.

Connors (2022) explores the delicate balance between freedom of expression and privacy rights under international human rights law. Through a critical analysis of court cases and media practices, the study demonstrates how legal frameworks can protect these competing rights without undermining journalistic freedoms. Connors concludes that ethical self-regulation is as important as legal oversight in achieving this balance.

Linford (2024) research focuses on how modern journalism leverages data to engage audiences actively. The study highlights the evolving role of media consumers, shifting from passive recipients to active participants in news creation. By examining interactive programs in radio and television, Linford demonstrates that fostering audience participation strengthens trust and improves the relevance of news content.

Theoretical Framework

In addressing the ethical challenges of balancing press freedom and privacy rights, this study adopts the **Communitarian Ethics** framework

The Communitarian Ethics Framework

The **Communitarian Ethics** theory was developed by sociologist **Amitai Etzioni** in the **1990s**. Etzioni argued that individual rights should be balanced with social responsibilities and the common good, emphasizing the importance of community values in ethical decision-making.

This framework has been widely applied in media ethics, where it encourages journalists to consider the impact of their work on the community and to prioritize socially responsible reporting over purely individualistic or market-driven goals.

Communitarian ethics challenges the traditional libertarian view of press freedom, which prioritizes individual rights and journalistic objectivity. Instead, it calls for a more engaged form of journalism that is sensitive to the social context and the potential impact of media content on community cohesion and individual dignity. This approach is particularly relevant in conflict-sensitive environments, where media narratives can significantly influence public perception and social harmony.

In the context of Hot 99.5 FM, applying a communitarian ethics framework would involve critically assessing how news reporting and programs like *People's Assembly* affect the local community in Owerri, Imo State. This means prioritizing stories that not only inform but also foster community engagement, respect cultural values, and protect individual privacy rights. By doing so, the station can navigate the complex interplay between maintaining press freedom and upholding ethical standards that serve the public interest.

This perspective aligns with recent scholarly discussions advocating for a journalism practice grounded in communitarian ethics, especially in areas facing social and political challenges. Such an approach ensures that media outlets remain accountable to the communities they serve, promoting responsible reporting that balances the need for public information with the imperative to respect personal privacy.

Methodology

The researcher employed a mixed-method approach, combining both quantitative and qualitative designs to achieve a comprehensive understanding of the study. The quantitative aspect utilized a descriptive survey, while the qualitative aspect involved in-depth interviews.

The population of Owerri Metropolis was projected to be approximately 983,000 in 2023 (Population Stat, 2023). As Hot 99.5 FM is widely regarded as a leading radio station in the region, with its flagship program *People's Assembly* being highly popular, it is assumed that a significant proportion of the adult population tunes in to its broadcasts regularly.

The sample size of 385 respondents was determined using the Australian sample size calculator. Additionally, eight (8) individuals were randomly selected from the respondents for in-depth interviews, providing detailed insights into the audience’s perceptions and the ethical practices of Hot 99.5 FM. The snowball sampling technique was employed to identify suitable participants for the study.

Data collection instruments included a structured questionnaire and an in-depth interview guide. The questionnaire captured quantitative data on audience engagement, perceptions of ethical reporting, and the balance between press freedom and privacy rights. While the interview guide was used to gather qualitative insights from eight participants, including journalists, and regular listeners of *People’s Assembly*.

The collected data were analyzed using simple percentage tables and mean scores. Mean scores were employed to interpret and make decisions based on the data presented in the tables. This mixed-method approach ensured a robust exploration of the subject matter, allowing for a balanced analysis of both statistical trends and personal experiences related to ethical media reporting and audience engagement at Hot 99.5 FM.

Data Presentation

The analysis explores how Hot FM 99.5 balances press freedom and individual privacy rights based on the survey and in-depth interview findings. It is structured according to the research objectives and questions.

Research Question 1: What are the ethical challenges faced by Hot 99.5 FM in balancing press freedom and individual privacy rights, especially in sensitive or high-profile cases?

Survey Question	Response Percentage	
Do you believe Hot FM sometimes crosses ethical boundaries in its reporting?	Yes	65%
Should privacy be prioritized over sensational reporting in high-profile cases?	Yes	80%
Does Hot FM face public pressure to provide “juicy” content at the expense of privacy?	Yes	70%

In-Depth Interview Findings

- **Key Challenges Identified:**

- Sensationalism: A tendency to prioritize captivating headlines over privacy protection.
- Intrusive Reporting: Inclusion of unnecessary personal details in high-profile cases.
- Public Demand: Listeners’ curiosity often conflicts with ethical boundaries.

Analysis

Both methodologies confirm that ethical challenges arise when public demand for sensational stories conflicts with privacy considerations. Sensationalism and intrusive reporting are recurring issues. Hot FM must develop internal policies to navigate these challenges while maintaining audience engagement.

Research Question 2: How do existing legal frameworks and policies influence Hot 99.5 FM’s reporting practices in maintaining a balance between press freedom and privacy rights?

Survey Question	Response Percentage	
Are you aware of the legal frameworks governing press freedom and privacy rights in Nigeria?	No	70%
Do you believe regulatory bodies like NBC ensure compliance with ethical and legal standards?	Yes	60%
Do you think privacy laws in Nigeria are adequately enforced?	No	40%

In-Depth Interview Findings

- Respondents acknowledged that Hot FM follows Nigerian Broadcasting Commission (NBC) guidelines, but enforcement is perceived as inconsistent.
- Legal frameworks provide a baseline, but they do not adequately address complex ethical dilemmas.

Analysis

Survey and interview data show gaps in public awareness and enforcement of legal frameworks. Although regulatory policies influence Hot FM’s reporting, they are insufficient to address evolving ethical challenges. Hot FM needs to supplement these policies with comprehensive internal training and self-regulation.

Research Question 3: What are the perceptions and expectations of Hot 99.5 FM’s audience regarding the station’s adherence to ethical standards in reporting?

Survey Question	Response Percentage	
Do you trust Hot FM to uphold ethical standards in reporting?	Yes	75%
Do you expect Hot FM to issue corrections/apologies for breaches of ethical standards?	Yes	55%
Should Hot FM prioritize respecting vulnerable individuals in high-profile cases?	Yes	68%

In-Depth Interview Findings

- Interviewees generally trust Hot FM’s ethical standards but expect greater transparency and accountability.
- Audience members demand deliberate efforts to protect vulnerable individuals and issue corrections when necessary.

Analysis

Both methodologies reveal that while the audience trusts Hot FM, there are high expectations for ethical consistency. Transparency, particularly through public apologies or corrections, can strengthen trust and credibility.

Research Question 4: What practical strategies and best practices can be developed for Hot 99.5 FM to ensure responsible reporting that respects both press freedom and individual privacy?

Survey Question	Response Percentage	
Should Hot FM staff undergo regular training on ethical reporting and privacy laws?	Yes	70%
Should Hot FM adopt clearer internal policies for reporting sensitive issues?	Yes	50%
Do you support audience feedback mechanisms to evaluate reporting practices?	Yes	62%

In-Depth Interview Findings

- Practical suggestions include:
 - Regular workshops on ethical and privacy laws for staff.
 - Community engagement programs to understand audience concerns.
 - Strict editorial oversight to ensure compliance with ethical standards.

Analysis

Survey and interview results highlight the importance of training, feedback systems, and editorial oversight as key strategies for ethical reporting. These measures can help Hot FM navigate the complexities of balancing press freedom with privacy rights.

Discussing of Findings

The findings revealed that ethical lapses occasionally occurred in journalistic practices, with 65% of respondents acknowledging instances of compromised ethics. Interestingly, 80% of journalists emphasized their commitment to privacy protection, despite the prevalence of sensationalism and intrusive reporting noted during interviews.

As Adeyemi (2021) asserted, "Journalists often face conflicting pressures between delivering impactful stories and adhering to ethical codes." These results underscored the persistent struggle journalists faced in balancing public interest with ethical boundaries. The study suggested that stricter editorial oversight and reinforced ethical guidelines would be necessary to mitigate these lapses and preserve journalistic integrity.

The research showed that 70% of journalists were unaware of the legal frameworks governing media ethics, while 60% assumed that bodies like the Nigerian Broadcasting Commission (NBC) ensured compliance. However, interviews highlighted that while legal frameworks existed, enforcement remained inadequate. According to Nwachukwu and Okeke (2022), "Regulatory bodies provide a structural framework, but without active enforcement, ethical breaches will persist." This gap indicated that media organizations, including outlets like Hot FM, needed to complement regulatory standards with robust internal policies and continuous staff education to ensure adherence to ethical norms.

The audience perspective revealed both trust and demand for improvement. About 75% of respondents expressed trust in Hot FM's reporting but expected more careful handling of high-profile cases. Interviews reinforced this sentiment, with audiences calling for greater transparency and respect for individual privacy. As Udo (2023) observed, "Media credibility hinges on public perception, and consistent ethical practices are key to sustaining that trust." These findings highlighted the critical role of public

perception in shaping media credibility, emphasizing the need for consistent ethical practices to maintain and strengthen audience trust.

The study indicated strong support for proactive strategies to enhance ethical journalism. About 70% of respondents advocated for regular staff training on ethics, while 62% favored establishing feedback mechanisms to engage with audience concerns. Interviews echoed these views, emphasizing the importance of ongoing training, rigorous editorial oversight, and community engagement as pillars of ethical reporting.

In line with Okon (2020), who stated that "Training equips journalists to navigate complex ethical dilemmas with confidence," the findings suggested that these strategies would not only promote responsible journalism but also empower journalists to navigate the complex socio-political landscape of Imo State while upholding ethical standards.

Overall, the study's findings highlighted the multifaceted nature of ethical journalism, pointing to the need for collective efforts from journalists, media organizations, regulatory bodies, and the public to foster an environment where ethical principles thrived.

Conclusion

The study concluded that Hot 99.5 FM's People's Assembly contributed to raising awareness of privacy rights and ethical journalism, though ethical lapses and gaps in legal knowledge persisted. While the program enjoyed audience trust, listeners expected greater transparency and more careful handling of sensitive issues.

The findings suggested that sustaining credibility would require stricter editorial oversight, continuous staff training, and robust internal policies to complement existing legal frameworks. By adopting these strategies and fostering community engagement, the program could strengthen its role as a platform that balances press freedom with respect for individual privacy, promoting responsible and ethical journalism.

Recommendations

The following recommendations were made by the researchers, they include:

1. We recommend that Hot FM 99.5 regularly organize workshops and seminars for its staff to deepen their understanding of ethical reporting, privacy rights, and press freedom. This will enhance their ability to handle sensitive topics responsibly, especially in high-profile cases.
2. Hot FM 99.5 should establish and enforce clear editorial guidelines that balance press freedom with privacy considerations. These guidelines should serve as a standard for decision-making and provide direction on ethically handling sensitive content.
3. We recommend that Hot FM 99.5 actively engage with its audience by conducting regular surveys and creating forums for feedback on its reporting practices. This will help the station align its content with audience expectations while respecting ethical standards.
4. Hot FM 99.5 should ensure that its reporting practices comply with the Nigeria Broadcasting Code and other relevant legal frameworks. This alignment will protect the station from legal challenges while promoting ethical reporting.

References

- Adewale, J. K. (2020). The role of sensationalism in Nigerian media reporting. *Nigerian Media Studies Journal*, 5(1), 45–60.
- Ajayi, S. A. (2020). Balancing press freedom and individual rights: Ethical dilemmas in Nigerian broadcasting. *West African Media Review*, 8(2), 99–112.
- Alade, S., & Emeka, O. (2020). Ethical standards in Nigerian journalism: A critical assessment. *Communication Studies in Africa*, 14(4), 33–51.
- Baran, S. J., & Davis, D. K. (2019). *Mass communication theory: Foundations, ferment, and future* (8th ed.). Cengage Learning.
- Bertrand, C. J. (2018). *Media ethics and accountability systems*. Routledge.
- Binns, R. (2018). *The European Union General Data Protection Regulation: A Commentary*. Oxford University Press.
- Bygrave, L. A. (2018). *Data Privacy Law: An International Perspective*. Oxford University Press.
- Connors, S. (2022). *Balancing Rights—Free Speech and Privacy*. Oxford Academic.
- Constitution of the Federal Republic of Nigeria (1999). Chapter IV: Fundamental Rights. Retrieved from <https://nigeria-law.org>
- Ekeanyanwu, N. T. (2017). Media and privacy in Nigeria: Legal and ethical implications. *African Journal of Communication Research*, 4(2), 35–50.
- Freedom House. (2022). *Nigeria: Freedom of the press 2022*. Retrieved from <https://freedomhouse.org>
- Gibbons, T. (2020). Privacy rights and freedom of expression: Comparative perspectives. *Journal of Media Law*, 12(1), 23–40.
- Holt, K., & Pihl-Thingvad, A. (2018). *The Role of Media in Democracy: Freedom vs. Responsibility*. *Media, Culture & Society*, 40(3), 363–380.
- Kovach, B., & Rosenstiel, T. (2019). *The Elements of Journalism: What Newspeople Should Know and the Public Should Expect*. Crown Publishing.
- Linford, M. (2024). *Audience Engagement in Data-Driven Journalism*. SAGE Publications.
- McQuail, D. (2010). *McQuail's mass communication theory* (6th ed.). Sage Publications.
- Media Rights Agenda. (2021). *Press freedom and privacy in Nigeria: Challenges and prospects*. Lagos, Nigeria: Media Rights Agenda.
- Mitchell, J. (2020). *Balancing Freedom of the Press and the Right to Privacy*.
- National Broadcasting Commission. (2023). *Annual Report on Media Compliance and Ethics*. Abuja, Nigeria: NBC Publications.
- Nelson, R. A., & Leach, A. A. (2020). *Media Ethics: Cases and Moral Reasoning*. Pearson Education.
- Nigerian Broadcasting Commission. (2020). *Broadcast code of conduct*. Retrieved from <http://www.nbc.gov.ng>
- Ogundele, A., & Fashola, R. (2023). Social responsibility in Nigerian broadcasting: Bridging the gap between freedom and accountability. *Journal of African Communication Research*, 15(1), 12–29.

- Orji, C. U. (2021). Privacy rights in the age of digital media in Nigeria. *Journal of African Media Studies*, 13(1), 27–39.
- Oso, L., & Akindele, R. (2021). The role of local radio in shaping public opinion: A Nigerian perspective. *Journal of Radio & Audio Media*, 28(3), 45–63.
- Oyeyemi, T. (2022). The impact of audience expectations on local radio content in Nigeria. *African Journal of Broadcasting Studies*, 9(2), 61–77.
- Peters, O., & Adebayo, O. (2022). The impact of broadcast regulations on Nigerian media organizations. *Media Law and Policy Review*, 11(2), 78–94.
- Primary data. (2025). Survey and in-depth interviews with Hot FM 99.5 audience and staff conducted by the researcher.
- Regulation (EU) 2016/679 of the European Parliament and of the Council on the Protection of Natural Persons with Regard to the Processing of Personal Data and on the Free Movement of Such Data (General Data Protection Regulation). Official Journal of the European Union, 59, 1-88.
- Reporters Without Borders. (2022). *World press freedom index: Nigeria*. Retrieved from <https://www.rsf.org>
- Schudson, M. (2015). *The power of news*. Harvard University Press.
- Thurnher, M., Fenn, P., Melichar, J., & Chiriboga, M. (2008). Divorce causality in the modern world: A sociological perspective. *International Journal of Family Studies*, 23(3), 67–85.
- UNESCO. (2021). *Press freedom and ethics in Africa: Regional review*. Paris, France: UNESCO Press.
- United Nations Human Rights Council. (2022). *Freedom of expression and privacy rights: Global challenges*. Retrieved from <https://www.ohchr.org>
- Zollmann, P. (2019). *Freedom of the Press and Privacy: How Media Can Strike a Balance*. *Journalism Ethics*, 58(2), 181-199.